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Evaluating Websites

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Which website do you consider best for research? Put an “X” by the one you consider the best.** | | **Explain why you consider that website the strongest of the two. The explanation should be written in a well-developed paragraph.** | **What made the other website not the best?** |
| 1 | [Drug Abuse Article 1](https://www.medicinenet.com/drug_abuse/article.htm#drug_use_disorder_facts) \_X\_\_  or  [Drug Abuse Article 2](https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/drugfacts/understanding-drug-use-addiction) \_X\_\_ | BOTH ARTICLE 1 AND 2 WERE REALLY GOOD BECAUSE THEY GAVE FACTS AND INFORMATION. THEY WERE REVIEWED BY EXXPERTS RECENTLY. ARTICLE 1 DID HAVE POP-UPS BUT IT WAS STILL REALLY GOOD INFORMATION. ARTICLE 2 ADDED LINKS WHICH COULD BUG MY COMPUTER SA WELL BUT STILL GOOD INFORMATION SO IN MY OPINION BOTH ARTICLES COULD USE WORK | I DIDNT CHOSE |
| 2 | [Gun Control Article 1](https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2017/06/22/americas-complex-relationship-with-guns/) \_\_\_  or  [Gun Control Article 2](https://gun-control.procon.org/) \_\_\_ | I THINK THAT ARTICLE 2 IS THE BETTER ONE BECAUSE IT WASNT TO MUCH INFORMATION IT WAS JUST ENOUGH AND IT WAS UPDATED RECENTLY NO POP-UPS | ARTICLE 1 GAVE TOO MUCH INFORMATION |
| 3 | [Death Penalty Article 1](https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/abolish-the-death-penalty/2018/09/28/830ad282-c27a-11e8-97a5-ab1e46bb3bc7_story.html?noredirect=on) \_\_\_  or  [Death Penalty Article 2](https://deathpenaltyinfo.org/state-and-federal-info/state-by-state) \_\_\_ | ARTICLE 2 DIDNT GIVE ALOT OF INFORMATION EITHER BUT THERE WERE NO POP-UPS | ARTICLE 1 GAVE WAY TOO MANY POP-UPS AND NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION |
| 4 | [Eating Disorders Article 1](https://kidshealth.org/en/parents/eating-disorders.html) \_X\_\_  or  [Eating Disorders Article 2](https://www.everydayhealth.com/eating-disorders/guide/) \_\_\_ | I SAY THAT ARTICLE 2 IS THE BEST *ARTICLE BECAUSE* IT WAS REVIEWED AND WRITTEN BY EXPERTS. THE INFORMATION WAS LAST UPDATED AUGUST 11th, 2020. THEY GAVE ALOT OF INFORMATION AND THEY WENT DEEPER. ALTHOUGH IT DID HAVE ADDS, IN MY OPINION, I STILL THINK ITS A REALLY GOOD WEBSITE. | ARTICLE 1 DIDNT HAVE AS MUCH INFORMATION. |
| 5 | [Global Warming Article 1](https://www.ucsusa.org/resources/global-warming-faq#.W_-dPeJRe00) \_\_\_  or  [Global Warming Article 2](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_change) \_\_\_ | ARTICLE 1 WAS GOOD BECAUSE IT WAS PUBLISHED 2008 BUT UPDATED 2020 | ARTICLE 2 WAS WIKIPEDIA SO I DIDNT READ ANYMORE |